

IPW



<b>TRANSMITTAL FORM</b> <i>(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)</i>	Application Number	10/823,088
	Filing Date	April 12, 2004
	First Named Inventor	Ning Wang
	Group Art Unit	1745
	Examiner Name	Gregg Cantelmo
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	318-000220US

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form	<input type="checkbox"/> Assignment Papers (for an Application)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Group
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment / Response	<input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
<input type="checkbox"/> After Final	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition Routing Slip (PTO/SB/69) and Accompanying Petition	<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Additional Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer	receipt acknowledgment
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> Small Entity Statement	postcard
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund	
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application	<b>Authorization to Charge Deposit Account</b> Please charge Deposit Account No. 50-0893 for any additional fees associated with this paper or during the pendency of this application, including any extensions of time for consideration of the documents enclosed.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Remarks	

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT	
Firm or Individual name	Tom Hunter, Reg. No. 38,498, Quine Intellectual Property Law Group P.C.
Signature	
Date	March 14, 2006

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DC 20231 on this date: March 14, 2006			
Typed or printed name	Chianti Appling		
Signature		Date	3/14/06

1  
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the  
United States Postal Service first class mail in an envelope  
addressed to: Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

March 14, 2006  
QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, P.C.

By:   
Chanti Appling

Atty Docket No: 318-000220US  
Client Ref:

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of:

**NING WANG, YI DONG, YI-QUN LI**

Application No.: 10/823,088

Filed: 04/12/2004

For: **LOW PLATINUM FUEL CELLS,  
CATALYSTS, AND METHOD FOR  
PREPARING THE SAME**

Examiner: Gregg Cantelmo

Art Unit: 1745

Confirmation No: 3167

**Statement of Substance of Interview**

Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This paper is filed in response to the Office Action mailed on February 2, 2006 containing an Interview Summary. In addition to a description of the interview, the Interview Summary contained the statement that citing MPEP §713.04 that a Statement of the Substance of the Interview is required.

M.P.E.P. §713.04(b) states that:

(b) In every instance **where reconsideration is requested** in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of **the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action** must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office actions as specified in §§ 1.111 and 1.135. [emphasis added]

In the instant case, no request for reconsideration was presented and no reasons were presented at the interview as warranting favorable action. Accordingly it is Applicants understanding that **no Statement of the Substance of the Interview is Required.**

Nevertheless, in the interest of clarity and completeness, Applicants note that the interview with Examiner Cantelmo on January 27, 2006 simply concerned shifting an elected group in a restriction requirement. More particular Applicants confirmed shifting of the election from Group I, claims 1029 to Group VI, claims 87-112. In shifting, claims 1-86 and 119 were withdrawn from consideration as to non-elected inventions and action on the merits of claims 87-112 will follow.

Applicants believe all claims now pending in this application are in condition for allowance. The issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance at an early date is respectfully requested. Should the Examiner seek to maintain the rejections, Applicants request a telephone interview with the Examiner and the Examiner's supervisor.

If a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (510) 769-3513.

QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW  
GROUP, P.C.  
P.O. BOX 458  
Alameda, CA 94501  
Tel: 510 337-7871  
Fax: 510 337-7877

Respectfully submitted,



Tom Hunter  
Reg. No: 38,498